

Action Items
7 – 10 March 2006

Action 1.a

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

Readiness Reporting Standardization – Collection of Data

MARFOR, MEF, POR and LPO, MCSC, MCLC

30 March 06

Submit list/description of readiness reporting requirements, to include who report is submitted to, what information is included in the report, what the report is used for and any other pertinent information that will assist in the analysis/standardization of the readiness reporting study? (Examples mentioned – QRRC, SORTS, JRRC)

Action 1.b

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

Readiness Reporting Standardization – Analysis of Data

S&A

30 April 06

Conduct analysis of all readiness reporting requirements. Baseline demands. Identify consistencies and inconsistencies between various reporting requirements, to include relevance regarding funding, distribution of assets, etc.

Action 2.a

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

Categorization of Readiness Reportable Equipment – Designation Differentiation

S&A, POR, ACPROD

30 April 06

MEE vs PEI - SORTS Reportable Equipment Designation Differentiation – Reporting Requirements (1) What are they? (2) What are the reporting demands? Are different equipment inventories “readiness” handled differently (i.e. is MEE funded differently, maintained differently, managed differently, prioritized differently?) (3) What does MEE mean in relation to CORE, why do we have a MEE category? (4) PEI vs MEE - Impact if all readiness reportable items were recategorized as MEE. *Note: MEE is J3 approval – Readiness Reportable is “Service” specific.* (This is a prerequisite to One Number implementation)

Action 2.b
Action Officer:
Due Date:
Description:

Readiness Reporting Calculations - Standardization

S&A, POR, ACPROD

30 April 06

Common S & R Ratings – Develop “S” and “R” materiel readiness computations which are common to MARES/SASSY/SORTS/MERIT. Do we need to calculate S&R in one population of PEIs or is there value added in calculating MEE and the balance of PEIs as a separate population? (This is a prerequisite to One Number implementation)

Action 3
Action Officer:
Due Date:
Description:

Readiness Reporting Policy Review

MRIPT

30 March 06

Provide comments for review of MCO 3000, MCBul 3000 and IG Checklist. Comments will be consolidated and forwarded to LPO for official release.

Action 4
Action Officer:
Due Date:
Description:

Capabilities of LCMI – HQMC Endorsement

MRIPT

30 March 06

Provide comments for draft msg reannouncing capability that exists in LCMI, which includes version 2.0.5.0 upgrades. Comments will be consolidated and forwarded to LPO for official release.

Action 5
Action Officer:
Due Date:
Description:

MRIPT Charter Review

MRIPT

30 March 06

Provide comments for review of MRIPT Draft Charter. Comments will be consolidated and forwarded to LPO for official release.

<u>Action 6</u>	<u>Blount Island Command – Unit Identification Codes for Asset Visibility</u>
<u>Action Officer:</u>	BICmd in coordination with TFS
<u>Due Date:</u>	30 March 06
<u>Description:</u>	Validate if each ship has a UIC or if each squadron has a UIC. Per TFS, assets on the ships are associated with the unit that it supports and TFSMS has a field to identify which MPS the gear is located on.
<u>Action 7.a</u>	<u>Serialized Tracking at the Component Level</u>
<u>Action Officer:</u>	SCMC
<u>Due Date:</u>	30 March 06
<u>Description:</u>	Component Serial Tracking – Research the possibility of instituting “component” level serial number tracking in MIMMS.
<u>Action 7.b</u>	<u>Serialized Tracking - Policy</u>
<u>Action Officer:</u>	SCMC in coordination with LPO
<u>Due Date:</u>	30 March 06
<u>Description:</u>	Policy is in place to direct PEI serialized tracking. Policy needs to be revised to track to component level.
<u>Action 8</u>	<u>Serialized Tracking – Steps to Ensure Unit Upgrade</u>
<u>Action Officer:</u>	SCMC in coordination with MARFOR, MEF
<u>Due Date:</u>	30 April 06
<u>Description:</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) SCMC will provide a list of the units still using ATLASS 3.0 - <u>Completed 20 March 06</u> (2) SCMC will provide instructions on the manual adhoc (Perez) fix (3) MARFOR/MEF will direct units to upgrade to ATLASS 4.0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Strip old records b. One time run of adhoc program to upload files c. Future “YRU” updates to mainframe must be processed in accordance with policy d. Report back to MRIPT that action is complete

(4) Units will be upgraded to ATLASS 4.2 in approximately 8 months (Sept 06), which will provide automatic reconciliation with the mainframe for future serial number changes

Action 9

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

Data Analysis Team - Checklist

S&A and SCMC in coordination with MARFOR, MEF, DAT

30 May 06

DAT Automated Checklist/Reconciliation tab, an automated means to look at maintenance and supply information electronically to determine what needs to be looked at first in order to increase readiness, decrease waste and insight into where resources should be focused. MARFORRES developed initial screen shots/requirements.

- (1) S&A will begin immediate collection of additional requirements. DATs will travel to LOGCOM May 06 to determine best way to integrate requirements into LCMI. Current focus is on:
 - a. Identify what data is currently retrievable through electronic means
 - b. Identify what prototypes are currently available, in order to capitalize on existing capabilities
 - c. Determine what data elements are relevant, as well as, how data should be displayed under the LCMI umbrella
 - d. Capture historical data for use in trend analysis
 - e. DAT needs further direction on maintenance, armory, etc.

Action 10

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

Capability Based Readiness Reporting

S&A lead for analytical GCSS, MARFOR, MEF, POR, LPO, LPV, SYSCOM and LOGCOM

30 April 06

Conduct analysis to determine a standard for readiness reporting. The Marine Corps is ahead of the rest of the Joint world and should continue to strive to set the standard for others to follow. Keep in mind the "Needs/Capability Based" direction of OSD.

- (1) Determine if any other "group" is addressing "Needs/Capability Based" readiness analysis
 - (1.a.) If yes, determine how the MRIPT should participate on the existing team to offer expertise in identifying future requirements

- (1.b) If not, identify a representative from each area/organization of the MRIPT and move forward with leading the effort
- (2) Determine capabilities that are currently available in existing automated readiness tools (DRRS, GCSS, etc.) as well as, future capabilities
- (3) Although, current focus is on “Marine Corps – Ground Equipment”, strategic focus should include the “Joint Force – and all SORTS categories” (personnel, training, etc.)
- (4) Understand and articulate the requirements for Need Based/Capability Based readiness reporting, how do we want to do business in the future – enterprise solutions, requirements for OSD, Marine Corps, Program Managers, etc.
- (5) How to convert S&R ratings in terms of capability based readiness?

Action 11

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

Importance of MIMMS Critical Data Elements

ACPROD

30 March 06 – ***COMPLETE – REF MSG 281906ZMAR06***

Draft a message to release Marine Corps wide to explain the importance of “Critical Data Elements in MIMMS” message for release Marine Corps wide to stress the importance of key fields data elements (serial numbers, meter readings, labor hours, etc.)

Action 12

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

Calculated Readiness – LCMI Error Check

S&A

19 April 06

“Calculated Readiness Page” ID-NR 3 - SASSY Total Allow does not equal Sassy HQMC Auth + Sassy Cmd Adj – investigate and provide feedback to MRIPT, as well as all MERIT users, ***Error will be corrected by 19 April, system will be corrected with following “enhancement release”.***

Action 13

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

Calculated Reporting – Enhancements to LCMI

S&A

30 August 06

Special Allowance and Command Adjust need to be included on “Calculated Readiness Page”. Special allowance rolled up with HQMC allowance

Action 14

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

WOLPH Enhancements

S&A in coordination with PM-LIS

30 March - 30 Sept 06

WOLPH Enhancements:

- a. MRIPT will provide a list to the Executive Steering Committee (ESC) of desired functionality requirements for WOLPH enhancements ***(MRIPT representatives need to provide prioritized list to S&A by COB 30 March 06)***

Suggestions captured during this session

- Research possibility of enhancing WOLPH to include a drop down box to select equipment serial numbers from holding AAC
- Consider idea of enhancing WOLPH to interface with the Material Return Program (MRP), extract data from MRP and feed into WOLPH, or change policy/procedures to identify and return/redistribute excess equipment, especially considering current situations surrounding deployments. NOTE: WOLPH is an input tool, MRP is the tool built to do the SecRep returns. MARFORPAC will provide a copy of the policy developed by SGEWG
- Provide data feed to LCMI Search Feature for future ad hoc queries (i.e., date, unit, NSN, etc.)

Recommendations for WOLPH Executive Steering Committee:

- WOLPH User Guide – Prepare and distribute NAVMC Procedure Policy (User Manual)
- MRIPT extends an offer to provide resources, as available, to assist in WOLPH enhancements (examples LOTUS expertise, funding, user testing, etc.)
- Education and training for WOLPH User Community is desperately needed
- Write/update policy, include representatives from Centralized SecRep Management/4th EOM

- Don't build the system according to current deployed environment, rather enhance the system in accordance with LOGMOD vision
- Include the Supply Community and SCMC Materiel Managers in future functionality determination to ensure their requirements are met
- Ensure GCSS includes functionality to accept equipment return request with the ability to filter and determine which system (MRP or WOLPH) should be utilized
- Request feedback from ESC during next MRIPT regarding feasibility of including enhancements in coordination with WOLPH migration to Oracle

Action 15

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

One Number

S&A

30 May 06 (update)

One Number – Conduct a pilot test to determine the best way to transition to one number. Focus will be to uncover what we don't know if we convert to the one number concept. Problems encountered, impact to readiness, etc.

- a. Solicit partnership with HQMC, endorsed by MRIPT **S&A Action 30 March 06**
- b. Need ability to comment on TAMCN – II MEF has possible solution, need to provide instructions to MRIPT **II MEF Action 14 April 06**
- c. Need to determine if SASSY provides a “comment box” capability. Possible solution, data feed from LUAF, on hand and allowance, could LM2 pull data from SASSY? This might require funding for a system change, would PM-LIS be willing to entertain this idea? **SCMC Action 14 April 06**
- d. MARFORPAC agreed to conduct the initial pilot test **PAC Action 15 May 06**
- e. VTC will be set up to discuss lessons learned, problems encountered during pilot test. **30 May 06**
- f. Next MRIPT determine a plan to transition to one number.
- g. Next MRIPT discuss display of information in MERIT – one page, easier comparison
- h. Request HQMC publish a naval message endorsing “One Number Concept”

Action 16

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

DODAAC Approval Naming Convention

TFSMS in coordination with SCMC

30 March 06

TFS will provide SCMC with an approval naming convention to apply when making changes to the DODAAC, TAC 1, 2 and 3. Show TAC 2 Name on “Unit Page”.

Action 17

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

MIMMS Enhancements

SCMC in coordination with MARFOR, MEF and PM-LIS

30 April 06

Next MRIPT request PM-LIS brief update of PC- MIMMS and ATLASS 1 requirements upgrade. PAC will draft message (30 March 06) identifying what the requirements are. (i.e., serial number drop down box, EOTC auto populate, AAC/UIC relationship, mandatory meter reading and labor hours, auto load with manual option overload, intermediate ERO auto populate based on request number). Officially submit requirement in the event funding becomes available and upgrades can be made.

Action 18

Action Officer:

Due Date:

Description:

In-Transit Equipment Visibility

SCMC, BICmd, MARFOR and S&A

30 April 06

Coordinated effort to standardize process to ensure in-transit equipment visibility. This action will be worked in coordination with Strategic Ground Equipment Working Group.